UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,948	09/11/2006	Jian Chen	SNDK.284US1	6753
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP - SANDISK CORPORATION 505 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			EXAMINER ·	
			YOHA, CONNIE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
	·	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/552,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

This office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the Applicant:
 Papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) have been placed of record in the file.

IDS filed 10/13/05 was considered.

2. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim1-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1-19 of prior U.S. Patent No.6839281. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. Roohparvar (7190622), Gongwer et al (7139465) and Chow et al (7057969) disclose a memory device having sensing circuitry.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,948 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Connie C. Yoha whose telephone number is (571)-272-1799. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C. Yoha 7/8/2007

> Connie C. Yoha AU 2827

CONNECY

CONNIE C. YOHA
PRIMARY EXAMINER